Applicants:

Ron S. Israeli, et al.

Serial No.: Filed :

08/466,381 June 6, 1995

Page 5

mon F

amplification product with a labeled probe, thereby detecting in the sample the presence of a nucleic acid encoding a prostate specific membrane antigen.

Remarks

Claims 90-93 were pending in the subject application, Applicants have hereinabove amended claims 90-93 and added new claims 94-96. Applicants have hereinabove amended the specification by replacing "#1" with --No.1-- and replacing "ID#2" with --SEQ ID NO. 2". Applicants contend that this amendment does not involve any issue of new matter. Support for these amendments may be found inter alia in the specification on page 21, lines 30-32. Accordingly, claims 90-96 will be pending upon the entry of this amendment.

Specification

The Examiner stated that the specification is still objected to for not complying with the sequence rules as set forth in Paper No. 8, filed May 12, 1998, Paragraph 2. The Examiner stated that applicant's arguments filed November 16, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive because page 53, lines 22-24 of the specification do not use an identifier such as "SEQ ID NO" but merely state "ID #2".

In response, applicants have hereinabove amended the specification according to the Examiner's suggestions. Applicants contend that this amendment obviates the Examiner's objection, rendering it moot. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the objection.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

The Examiner rejected claims 90-93 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for reasons of record and the following. The Examiner stated that applicant's arguments filed November 16, 1998 have

Applicants: Ron S. Israeli, et al.

Serial No.: 08/466,381 Filed : June 6, 1995

Page 6

been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive because applicant merely asserts that it is a routine experiment to design an appropriate probe according to the Sambrook textbook chapter provided by applicant.

The Examiner stated that applicant has not provided any evidence or sound scientific reasoning to overcome the grounds of the rejection due to unpredictability and undue experimentation set forth in Paper No. 8, filed May 12, 1998, pages 2-5, which deal with the PSM antigen probe in particular and specific detail.

In response, applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's position. Applicants contend that undue experimentation would not have been needed to practice the claimed invention. Nevertheless, without conceding the correctness of the Examiner's position but the prosecution of subject the application, applicants have hereinabove amended the claims such that the nucleic acid is complementary to a nucleic acid sequence, i.e, SEQ ID NO:1. Applicants contend that it is routine for one skilled in the art to design a probe which is complementary to a particular nucleic acid sequence. Applicants contend that one would need skilled in the art not to perform experimentation to practice the claimed invention. Applicants contend that these remarks and amendments obviate the above rejection and respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The Examiner rejected claims 90-93 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph that for reasons of record and the following. The Examiner stated that applicant's arguments filed November 16, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive because applicant merely asserts that one skilled in the art would know to use the hybridization conditions set forth

Applicants:

Ron S. Israeli, et al.

Serial No.:

08/466,381 June 6, 1995

Filed Page 7

in a laboratory manual such as the Sambrook textbook chapter provided by applicant. The Examiner stated that applicant has not provided any evidence or sound scientific reasoning to overcome the grounds of the rejection because "specifically hybridizing" conditions, as set forth in laboratory manuals, vary with the length and nucleotide composition of a particular nucleotide sequence. The Examiner stated that the instant claims do not set forth a fixed length or nucleotide composition of the probe/primer/molecule in the recited methods.

In response, applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's position. Nevertheless, without conceding the correctness of the Examiner's position but to expedite the prosecution of the subject application, applicants have hereinabove amended the claims such that the claims are drawn to a nucleic acid molecule which is complementary to a particular nucleic acid sequence, i.e. SEQ ID No:1. Applicants contend that one skilled in the art would know how to design a probe which is complementary to this particular nucleic acid sequence. Applicants contend that these amendments and remarks obviate the above rejection and respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the subject application, applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to telephone at the number provided below.

Summary

For the reasons set forth hereinabove, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the various grounds for objection and rejection and earnestly solicit allowance of the claims now pending in the subject application.

No fee except for the enclosed \$435.00 fee for a three month

Applicants:

Ron S. Israeli et al. 08/403,803

Serial No.:

Filed Page 8 March 17, 1995

extension of time is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Amendment. However, if any fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of any such fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

Respectfully submitted,

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

ég. No. 28,678

. White Registration No. 28,678 Attorney for Applicants Cooper & Dunham, LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

(212) 278-0400